



VOLUME 5779 · ISSUE XXVI· PARSHAS SHMINI · A PUBLICATION OF THE BAIS HAVAAD HALACHA CENTER

A TALE OF TWO CITGOS:

Oil Change in Venezuela

Adapted from a shiur by Rav Daniel Dombroff

WHOSE OIL IS IT?

Venezuela has the largest proven oil reserves of any country in the world, estimated in 2017 at 300 million barrels. But its people are starving.

No war or natural disaster brought what was once the fourth-richest country on Earth to its knees. The crisis resulted almost entirely from choices and policy decisions made by the late socialist revolutionary leader Hugo Chavez since his election two decades ago. Profligate spending on social services to buy the support of the poor, imposition of price controls, confiscation of private businesses, corruption at the highest levels of government, failure to diversify the economy beyond oil, and creeping dictatorship guaranteed that the house of cards would collapse. And collapse it did in 2014, when the inevitable cratering of oil prices arrived, just after Chavez died and was replaced by his chosen successor, Nicolas Maduro. A former bus driver who made all the stops on his way to the top, Maduro's response to the crisis was to deny it was happening and stay the Chavista course.

Fast forward to today: A tenth of the population has fled the country, 90% of those who remain live in poverty, inflation is well over one million percent annually, shortages of food and medicine abound, and the average citizen has lost twenty pounds on what locals wryly call the "Maduro diet."

In January, Juan Guaido, president of the National Assembly—the parliament that Maduro declared invalid and replaced in 2017—invoked a constitutional provision to declare

himself acting president and call for new elections. The U.S. backed him, as now do more than fifty countries.

As in most family fights, there is money at stake.

Citgo, the Houston-based gas station chain, is a subsidiary of the Venezuelan state-owned oil company, Petroleos de Venezuela. Guaido has announced that he will name new boards of directors for the companies to wrest control from Maduro, leaving each company with two competing boards.

The case of two people fighting over a pikadon, monies deposited for safekeeping with a third party, is discussed by the Gemara in the beginning of Bava Metzia. Both men are considered muchzak, in possession of the funds, and ain hachaluka yechola lihiyos emes, a fifty-fifty split couldn't possibly be correct. So if neither party can prove his claim, yehay munach ad sheyavo Eliyahu—the money shall remain in place until Eliyahu Hanavi, the herald of Mashiach, will identify the true owner. Or until proof is adduced, whichever comes first (Bava Metzia 3a and Tosafos 2a s.v. v'yachaloku).

An oil company with conflicting claims appears comparable. But in our particular scenario, there may be a *muchzak*, a party in possession.

In a monarchy, the king owns the country (Ran, Nedarim 28a). If he is a *melech*, Maduro would be the *muchzak* on Citgo assets, and if there is a question, he would retain ownership.

If a king loses de facto control of his country, he loses the status of *melech* and its attendant perquisites, including his *ba'alus* over the country. (Though if he loses power in a drawn-out process, it might be difficult to identify the exact point at which this shift occurs.) In a *kibush*, where one king's domain is

(continued on back)



An Overview of a Shiur by Rav Daniel Dumbroff on Parshas Shemini

Karpas and Tumah

וכי יותן מים על זרע ונפל מנבלתם עליו טמא הוא לכם (ויקרא יא:לח)

Netilas Yadayim for fruit dipped in liquid - Chazal required this due to a complex *gezeira* related to *tumah*.

Mishna Berura - Many people do not do this today, and although there is some support for that, one should really comply with the gezeira.

If the fruit is less than a *k'zayis - Mishna Berura* says there is room to be lenient, based on a precedent concerning *netilas yadayim* for bread

Pesach Night - Everyone washes for *karpas* dipped in salt water to comply with the stringent opinions.

Tur - Eat less than a kezayis of karpas to allow the beracha count for the maror but still avoid questions about reciting a beracha acharona.

(continued on page 3)



Scan here to receive the weekly email version of the Halacha Journal



OR SIGN UP AT BHHJ.ORG



conquered by another, the new king assumes melech status (Chulin 60b), and it would seem that he would take ownership of the country's receivables, including such debts as bank deposits.

But although Venezuela has operated as a dictatorship for some time, Maduro insists it is a democracy, which means he admits the country's assets belong not to him but to the Venezuelan people. This makes him an apotropos or trustee, in whose possession the assets sit while beneficial ownership is retained by the people. It would appear that an apotropos can enjoy muchzak status just like an owner, so in our case, should Citgo's banks continue to allow Maduro access to the funds?

Not necessarily. Even if Guaido hadn't mounted a challenge to Maduro's rule, the Gemara says (Gittin 14a) that one holding a deposit may withhold it from an untrustworthy trustee. So a U.S. bank holding Citgo funds would have the right to deny Maduro access by arguing that his regime has demonstrated it can't be trusted to use the funds in the best interests of the owners, the Venezuelan people. It could then legitimately retain the money until a trustworthy apotropos is in office.

This case is not without precedent in U.S. history. After the communist revolution in China under Mao Zedong, the Bank of China found itself with two feuding boards of directors. The Nationalist government, based in Formosa (now Taiwan), laid claim in 1949 to \$626,860 held in the United States at Wells Fargo. The bank, citing the conflict, refused the withdrawal request. The courts deferred to the State Department, which didn't recognize the Communist takeover, so the Nationalists got the money in 1952. It might take three years, but Guaido should have some petrodollars headed his way.

(continued from front pg.)



Mishna Berura:

If so, how does this help, if no netilas yadayim is

needed for less than a kezayis?

Response - Ultimately, we must agree with the Tur and be machmir (even if we don't understand). Therefore, less than a kezayis of fruit or vegetable dipped in liquid also renders one cha-





MEDICAL HALACHA CONSULTATION **LINE EXPANDS HOURS**

The Bais HaVaad's Medical Halacha Consultation Line is now available to the public all day. The Medical Halacha Center initially launched the consultation line for two hours daily. Shortly thereafter, the increased call volume corroborated the pressing need for such a resource with more timely access to authoritative and knowledgeable guidance for these sensitive questions, hence the expanded hours. The consultation line is manned by specially trained Poskim responding to halachic questions in all areas of medicine. The telephone number is 732.276.2183





BAIS HAVAAD HALACHA CENTER

RABBI YEHOSHUA WOLFE, MENAHEL RABBI YEHOSHUA GREENSPAN, SAFRA D'DAYNA

105 River Ave. #301, Lakewood, NJ 08701

1.888.485.VAAD (8223) www.baishavaad.org info@thehalachacenter.org

Rabbi Meir Benguigui, Safra D'dayna





Bais Din & Dispute Resolution



Zichron Gershon Kollel for **Davanus**



Medical Halacha Center



Kehilla & **Bais Din** Primacv Initiative



Halachic **Awareness** & Education

Midwest Rabbi Dovid Aron Gross **Brooklyn** Rabbi Dovid Housman גרוסמן ז"ל South Florida Rabbi Yosef Galimidi. Menghel



